UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,923	03/01/2004	Nancy C. Frye	063293.0110	1435
5073 7590 08/03/2007 BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.		EXAMINER		
2001 ROSS AVENUE			PATTERSON, MARIE D	
SUITE 600 DALLAS, TX	75201-2980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3728	
		·		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/03/2007	ELECTRONIC

### Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mike.furr@bakerbotts.com ptomail1@bakerbotts.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/790,923	FRYE, NANCY C.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Marie Patterson	3728		
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHOP WHICH - Extensic after SIX - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE on sof time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Friod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ARANDONE.	l. sely filed the mailing date of this communication.		
Status					
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ju	ly 2007.			
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)□ S	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	osed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> .				
Disposition	of Claims				
4a 5)□ C 6)図 C 7)□ C	laim(s) <u>1,5-9,11,14-16,19 and 20</u> is/are pendin c) Of the above claim(s) <u>6,7 and 9</u> is/are withd laim(s) is/are allowed. laim(s) <u>1, 5, 8, 11, 14-16, 19, and 20</u> is/are re- laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.			
Application	ı Papers				
10)∏ Th Ar Re	e specification is objected to by the Examiner e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce oplicant may not request that any objection to the deplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction e oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the E frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Ac a) 1. 1. 2. 3.	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage		
2)	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dal 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

Art Unit: 3728

# Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Species IX, figure 14 in the reply filed on 9/03/04 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

2. Claims 6, 7, and 9 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 9/3/04.

#### **Drawings**

- 3. The drawings were received on 10/26/05. These drawings are not entered because they contain new matter, i.e. the thickness, shape, exact location, etc. of the midsole is considered to be new matter. In response applicants' arguments directed towards the new matter added to the drawings in order to show the midsole, it is noted that it is applicants' responsibility when originally filing the application to ensure that all claimed elements are clearly shown in the drawings, the addition of elements at a later date in most cases does add new matter and will not be entered.
- 4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the midsole(s) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate

Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

5. The drawings are objected to because the specification states that 824 is a point at ½ the length of the shoe, however the point at 824 in figure 14 appears to be clearly in the forefoot portion of the shoe, this is confusing. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement

Art Unit: 3728

sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1, 5, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Snabb (5491912).

First it is noted that the elected species was first disclosed in parent application 09/688308 filed 10/13/2000 and therefore the subject matter is only given the benefit date of 10/13/2000. Snabb shows a shoe with an upper, insole (24), and planar surfaced outsole (20, see figures 2 and 5 and the description of such) with the claimed shape (described in column 3 lines 41-50 and column 4 lines 35-40). In reference to claim 4, the location shown and described by Snabb appears to be the same location shown in applicants' figure 14 and is considered to be "substantially halfway" as claimed.

Art Unit: 3728

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 8, 14, 16, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snabb.

Snabb shows a shoe substantially as claimed except for a plurality of midsoles located between the insole and outsole. Official notice is taken that the use of midsoles between insoles and outsole is extremely well known and conventional and since applicant has not shown such or provided any detail of such, it is assumed that applicant is claiming a well known and conventional midsole(s). It would have been obvious to provide a midsole(s) in the shoe of Snabb is well known and conventional to increase comfort and cushioning.

10. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snabb in view of Borgeas (3990159).

Snabb as discussed above shows a shoe with an insole substantially as claimed except for the insole being "removable". Official notice is taken that it is well known and conventional to allow insoles to be removable to allow orthotic inserts to be used, insoles and/or shoes to be laundered, to adjust worn elements, etc. In response to

Art Unit: 3728

applicants' request, Borgeas has been applied as showing the well known and conventional practice of allowing inserts/insoles to be removable and replaceable. It would have been obvious to make the insole removable as is well known and conventional and taught/shown by Borgeas in the shoe of Snabb to provide any of the known benefits of removability as discussed above.

### Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed 7/18/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicants' arguments directed towards the drawing objections, most of these arguements have been addressed above. It is noted that the proposed drawing amendment filed 1/30/06 figure 14, applicant has not only added midsoles and moved the location of the number 824, but also changed the location where the first portion (820) and second portion (826) meet. This is considered to raise new matter also. The shape shown in figure 14 of the original drawings shows an insole with a shape in which the location of the meeting of the portions 820 and 826 is considered to be "approximately haffway" (emphasis added) as recited in the specification originally filed. It would be admissible if applicant were to file drawings in which only number 824 is moved to the location shown in originally filed drawings as the point where the portions 820 and 826 meet. Drawing deficiencies cannot be corrected by the addition of new matter.

In response to applicants' arugments directed towards Snabb, Snabb clearly states that an insole is the layer which has the structure as claimed, this is specifically

Art Unit: 3728

discussed and clearly disclosed in Snabb in column 2 lines 15-45 and in column 3 lines 41-50 and column 4 lines 35-40. The toe portion of Snaab is stated as being 0 slope/uniform thickness forward of the ball 28 centers of pressure, not at 29 as argued. The reference to 29 merely refers to a general area that is uniform in thickness. Furthermore it is noted that Snabb clearly states in column 4 lines 50-55 that the slope angle can be adjusted by placing inserts on the inner sole.

In reference to applicants' arguments in reference to the placement of the change in slope being "at a point substantially halfway", the location shown as being near reference number 28 of Snaab is considered to be "substantially halfway" as shown by applicant in the original drawings.

1. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at <u>(571)273-8300</u> (FORMAL FAXES ONLY). Please identify Examiner Marie Patterson of Art Unit <u>3728</u> at the top of your cover sheet.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Marie Patterson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4559. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM Mon-Wed.

Marie Patterson Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3728

Art Unit: 3728

Page 8